IJPSM

Shrashti Agarwal *et al*, International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Medicine (IJPSM), Vol.9 Issue. 1, January- 2024, pg. 46-61

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

A REVIEW: NATURAL POLYMER LOADED MATRIX TABLETS OF ANTIPYRETIC DRUG

***[1]Shrashti Agarwal; [2]Shalini Singh**

Author (s) affiliations:
*[1]Research Scholar, Institute of pharmaceutical science and research Mahadev Campus, NH 25, Kanpur - Lucknow Rd, Highwah Sohramau, Unnao- 209859 UP, India
[2]Associate Professor, Institute of pharmaceutical science and research Mahadev Campus, NH 25, Kanpur - Lucknow Rd, Highwah Sohramau, Unnao- 209859 UP, India

Corresponding author:
*[1]Shrashti Agarwal
Research Scholar, Institute of pharmaceutical science and research Mahadev Campus, NH 25, Kanpur - Lucknow Rd, Highwah Sohramau, Unnao- 209859 UP, India
Email id: srsagr516@gmail.com

DOI: 10.47760/ijpsm.2024.v09i01.004

Abstract:

Natural Polymer Loaded Matrix Tablets for Antipyretic Drugs represent a promising advancement in pharmaceutical formulations, emphasizing the integration of natural polymers known for their biocompatibility and sustainability. This study delves into the innovative approach of utilizing matrix tablets, loaded with natural polymers, to optimize the delivery of antipyretic medications. The controlled-release mechanism offered by these tablets ensures a sustained and steady release of antipyretic drugs, addressing challenges related to peak concentrations and enhancing therapeutic efficacy while minimizing potential side effects. The incorporation of natural polymers not only contributes to improved patient safety but also aligns with global efforts towards eco-friendly pharmaceutical practices. As a focal point of ongoing research, natural polymer-loaded matrix tablets for antipyretic drugs hold significant promise in advancing pharmaceutical sciences, offering a holistic approach to patient well-being and environmental sustainability. The future and scope of matrix tablets unfold promising prospects, envisioning advanced formulations, personalized medicine, and targeted drug delivery as key areas of exploration. The study highlights the pivotal role matrix tablets play in shaping the pharmaceutical landscape, aligning with the industry's shift towards eco-friendly practices and patient-centric care.

Keywords: Matrix tablets, Natural polymers, Controlled drug release, Drug delivery systems

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

Introduction:

The importance of natural polymers in drug delivery represents a compelling area of research within the pharmaceutical field, aiming to harness the unique advantages offered by biocompatible and sustainable materials. Unlike synthetic counterparts, natural polymers, such as chitosan, alginate, cellulose, and gelatin, are derived from diverse sources like plants, animals, or microbes. One of their primary attractions lies in their inherent biocompatibility, ensuring that these materials are well-tolerated by the human body and minimizing the risk of adverse reactions during drug delivery processes.[1]

Furthermore, the sustainability aspect of natural polymers is a key factor driving their popularity in pharmaceutical formulations. Derived from renewable resources, these polymers align with the increasing emphasis on eco-friendly and green technologies in drug delivery research. This not only addresses concerns related to resource depletion but also contributes to the development of environmentally conscious pharmaceutical practices.[2]

The structural characteristics of natural polymers offer a significant advantage in achieving controlled drug release profiles. This is particularly beneficial for drugs that require a steady and sustained release to maintain therapeutic efficacy over an extended period. The ability to modulate drug release kinetics makes these natural polymers valuable components in designing drug delivery systems with enhanced precision and efficacy.[3]

Introduction to matrix tablets as a drug delivery system

Matrix tablets, a vital drug delivery system, offer a versatile approach to controlling the release of pharmaceutical agents within the body, providing advantages over traditional dosage forms. Their significance lies in the achievement of a controlled release mechanism, ensuring a gradual and extended delivery of the drug. This is particularly valuable for medications requiring a steady bloodstream concentration for sustained therapeutic effects.[4] The composition involves incorporating the drug into a matrix, a homogeneous mixture of hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers, acting as a sustained release platform and regulating drug diffusion.[5]

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

Matrix tablets extend the drug's action, beneficial for substances with short half-lives or those requiring frequent administration, improving patient compliance and minimizing fluctuations in drug concentration.[6] Various matrix types, such as hydrophilic matrices, hydrophobic matrices, and combination matrices, cater to specific drug properties and desired release profiles.[7]

Controlled release by matrix tablets leads to improved patient outcomes by optimizing drug therapy, ensuring a consistent and predictable drug effect and minimizing the risk of underdosing or overdosing.[8] These tablets have become integral in the pharmaceutical industry, offering a versatile and effective platform for controlled drug release, enhancing therapeutic outcomes.[9]

Natural Polymers

Natural polymers have garnered significant attention in pharmaceutical formulations due to their unique benefits and properties, offering distinct advantages over synthetic counterparts. One primary advantage lies in their inherent biocompatibility, ensuring compatibility with the human body and minimizing the risk of adverse reactions. Polymers such as chitosan, derived from crustacean shells, and alginate, extracted from seaweed, have been extensively studied for their biocompatible nature in various drug delivery systems.[10]

Moreover, natural polymers contribute to the sustainability of pharmaceutical formulations as they are often derived from renewable resources. This aligns with the increasing focus on developing eco-friendly and sustainable practices in the pharmaceutical industry. Sustainable sourcing of natural polymers, such as cellulose from plant sources, not only reduces the environmental impact but also addresses concerns related to resource depletion.[11]

The structural characteristics of natural polymers also make them advantageous in pharmaceutical formulations. Their versatility allows for the modification of drug release profiles, providing controlled and sustained release of therapeutic agents. For instance, gelatin, derived from animal collagen, has been employed to encapsulate drugs for controlled release applications.[12]

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

Biocompatibility and sustainability compared to synthetic polymers

Natural polymers stand out in pharmaceutical formulations due to their notable biocompatibility and sustainability, setting them apart from synthetic polymers. Biocompatibility is a critical factor as it ensures that these polymers are well-tolerated by the human body, minimizing the risk of adverse reactions or toxicity. Chitosan, derived from chitin found in crustacean shells, and alginate, extracted from seaweed, are examples of natural polymers extensively studied for their biocompatible properties in various biomedical applications.[13]

In contrast to synthetic polymers, natural polymers often exhibit superior biocompatibility, making them favorable for use in drug delivery systems and medical devices. The reduced likelihood of immunogenic responses and allergic reactions enhances their safety profile, an essential consideration in pharmaceutical applications.[14]

Sustainability is another distinguishing feature of natural polymers, contributing to their appeal in pharmaceutical formulations. Many natural polymers are sourced from renewable materials, such as cellulose obtained from plant sources. This sustainable sourcing aligns with the growing emphasis on eco-friendly practices in the pharmaceutical industry. The utilization of renewable resources reduces the environmental impact and addresses concerns related to resource depletion, making natural polymers more environmentally friendly compared to their synthetic counterparts.[15]

Table 1: List of some commonly used natural and synthetic polymers

S.No	Polymer	Properties	Origin	References
1.	Chitosan	Biocompatible, mucoadhesive, antibacterial	Shellfish shells (chitin)	[16][17]
2.	Alginate	Biocompatible, gelling agent, wound healing	Seaweed	[18][19]
3.	Cellulose	Biodegradable, versatile, renewable	Plant cell walls	[20][21]
4.	Gelatin	Biodegradable, protein-based, film-forming	Animal collagen	[22][23]

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

5.	Starch	Renewable, biodegradable, film-forming	Plants (crops like corn, wheat, potatoes)	[24][25]
6.	Polyethylene	Lightweight, chemically resistant, widely used	Petrochemicals	[26][27]
7.	Polypropylene	Versatile, heat-resistant, durable	Petrochemicals	[28][29]
8.	Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)	Durable, chemical resistant, thermoplastic	Petrochemicals	[30][31]
9.	Polyethylene Glycol (PEG)	Water-soluble, biocompatible, lubricating agent	Petrochemicals	[32][33]
10.	Poly(lactic acid) (PLA)	Biodegradable, derived from renewable resources	Corn starch, sugarcane	[34][35]
11.	Polyurethane	Versatile, durable, elastic	Petrochemicals	[36][37]
12.	Polylactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA)	Biodegradable, used in drug delivery systems	Lactic acid, glycolic acid	[38][39]
13.	Polyacrylonitrile (PAN)	Lightweight, strong, used in fibers	Petrochemicals	[40][41]
14.	Polyvinyl Alcohol (PVA)	Water-soluble, biocompatible, used in films	Petrochemicals	[42][43]
15.	Natural Rubber	Elastic, flexible, biodegradable	Latex from rubber trees	[44][45]
16.	Polycaprolactone (PCL)	Biodegradable, used in drug delivery systems	Petrochemicals	[46][47]
17.	Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)	Lightweight, strong, used in packaging	Petrochemicals	[48][49]
18.	Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)	Transparent, used in optics and medical devices	Petrochemicals	[50][51]
19.	Polysaccharides	Diverse, biodegradable, found in plant cell walls	Various plant sources	[52][53]
20.	Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)	Biodegradable, produced by bacteria	Microbial fermentation	[54]

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

3. Matrix Tablets

Matrix tablets represent a specialized form of drug delivery designed to control the release of pharmaceutical agents within the body. These tablets are formulated to provide a sustained and controlled release of active ingredients, offering distinct advantages over conventional dosage forms. The fundamental principle behind matrix tablets is the incorporation of the drug into a matrix, typically composed of hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers. This matrix serves as a reservoir, governing the diffusion of the drug into the surrounding environment, thereby controlling the rate and duration of release. One of the primary benefits of matrix tablets lies in their ability to achieve a prolonged and consistent drug release, leading to improved patient compliance and convenience. By extending the release of the drug, matrix tablets often enable less frequent dosing, reducing the burden on patients and potentially enhancing therapeutic outcomes. The composition of the matrix, including the choice of polymers, plays a crucial role in determining the release kinetics and overall performance of the tablet.[55]

Various types of matrix tablets exist, each offering specific advantages based on the desired drug release profile. Hydrophilic matrices, for example, utilize water-soluble polymers to create a gel-like structure that controls drug diffusion. Hydrophobic matrices, on the other hand, rely on water-insoluble polymers, releasing the drug as the matrix erodes or hydrates. Combinations of hydrophilic and hydrophobic matrices provide a tailored approach, allowing for fine-tuning of drug release characteristics.[56]

Development of matrix tablets

The development of matrix tablets involves various methods aimed at optimizing drug release profiles, enhancing patient compliance, and ensuring therapeutic efficacy. Below are explanations of some different methods used in the development of matrix tablets:

1. Direct Compression Method:

The Direct Compression Method is a pharmaceutical tablet manufacturing technique characterized by its simplicity and cost-effectiveness. In this approach, the drug and selected

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

polymers are directly blended without the need for additional granulation or wetting steps. The straightforward process involves compressing the resulting mixture into tablets. This method is particularly advantageous for drugs that are sensitive to heat or moisture, as it avoids exposing the pharmaceutical ingredients to potentially detrimental conditions during granulation. The Direct Compression Method stands out for its efficiency in producing tablets with reduced manufacturing costs and is often favored for its streamlined approach in the pharmaceutical industry.[57]]

2. Wet Granulation Method:

The Wet Granulation Method is a widely employed pharmaceutical tablet manufacturing process that involves several key steps. Initially, powders containing the active drug and selected polymers are combined and then wetted with a liquid binder. This wet mass is subsequently processed to form granules through techniques such as agglomeration, shear force, or extrusion. After granule formation, the material undergoes a drying process to eliminate excess moisture, and the resulting granules are milled to achieve a uniform particle size. Finally, these granules are compressed into tablets. The Wet Granulation Method serves various purposes in tablet manufacturing. It enhances the flow properties and compressibility of the powder mixture, leading to improved mechanical properties of the final tablets. The process is particularly beneficial when dealing with powders that exhibit poor flow or compression characteristics. Additionally, wet granulation allows for the incorporation of additional excipients, leading to the development of tablets with controlled release profiles. Despite its effectiveness, the Wet Granulation Method involves multiple steps and requires careful consideration of the formulation to ensure the production of high-quality tablets. Nevertheless, its widespread use in the pharmaceutical industry is attributed to the ability to overcome challenges associated with poor powder characteristics and to produce tablets with desirable properties for drug delivery.[58]

3. Hot Melt Extrusion:

Hot Melt Extrusion (HME) stands out as an advanced and versatile pharmaceutical manufacturing technique that revolutionizes the production of solid dosage forms, particularly

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

tablets. The process begins with the meticulous preparation of a blend comprising the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and carefully selected thermoplastic polymers. These polymers are chosen based on their compatibility with the drug and their ability to undergo melting and solidification. Once the mixture is ready, the next step involves subjecting it to elevated temperatures above the melting point of the polymers. This induces the formation of a homogenous molten mass, allowing for thorough mixing of the drug and polymer components. The molten mass is then extruded through a specially designed extruder, shaping it into a uniform matrix. Subsequently, the extruded material undergoes a rapid cooling process to solidify the matrix, resulting in the formation of solid dosage forms, often in the shape of tablets. Hot Melt Extrusion proves to be particularly advantageous for drugs facing challenges related to poor solubility or stability. By creating a solid dispersion or matrix system, this method enhances the bioavailability and dissolution rate of poorly soluble drugs. Additionally, HME provides precise control over drug release profiles, making it a valuable tool in the development of sustained or controlled-release dosage forms. Nevertheless, the successful implementation of Hot Melt Extrusion requires a thoughtful selection of polymers, careful consideration of process parameters, and a comprehensive understanding of the interactions between the drug and polymers. Overall, HME stands as a cutting-edge approach with the potential to address complex formulation challenges in the pharmaceutical industry.[59]

4. Compression Coating:

Compression coating is an advanced pharmaceutical manufacturing technique employed to modify drug release patterns in tablets. The process begins with the creation of a core tablet containing the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and necessary excipients. Subsequently, an outer layer of inert material, often a polymer, is applied to the core tablet using a tablet press with a dual compression system. This outer coating serves a pivotal role in controlling the drug release profile, allowing for immediate release from the core and a delayed release from the coating. The technique is particularly useful for achieving pulsatile or chronotherapeutic drug delivery, where medication is released in a controlled manner over a specific timeframe. The

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

choice of coating material is crucial and depends on factors such as the desired release profile, compatibility with the core formulation, and stability considerations. Common materials include ethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate phthalate, and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose. Rigorous quality control measures are implemented to ensure the uniformity and integrity of the compression-coated tablets, including monitoring coating thickness, weight uniformity, and mechanical strength. Compression coating stands as a strategic and versatile tool in pharmaceutical formulation, enabling the customization of drug release kinetics for optimized therapeutic outcomes.[60]

5. Layered Matrix Tablets

Layered matrix tablets represent an innovative approach in pharmaceutical formulation, aiming to finely control drug release profiles for optimized therapeutic outcomes. In this manufacturing technique, tablets are meticulously crafted by compressing multiple layers, each exhibiting distinct compositions. The versatility of this method lies in the ability to incorporate different concentrations of the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) across these layers, contributing to a tailored release profile. For instance, one layer may contain a higher concentration of the drug for an initial burst release, while subsequent layers may have lower concentrations to sustain the release over an extended period. The design flexibility extends to the use of various release-controlling polymers within different layers. Hydrophilic polymers, promoting immediate release, may be employed in one layer, while hydrophobic polymers, facilitating sustained release, may be utilized in another. This layered matrix approach provides a precise mechanism to achieve sequential and controlled release phases, allowing pharmaceutical scientists to fine-tune drug delivery according to specific therapeutic requirements. The significance of layered matrix tablets lies in their potential to address complex dosing regimens and improve patient compliance. By tailoring the release kinetics of each layer, these tablets offer a strategic solution for medications that require a combination of immediate and sustained effects. Rigorous quality control measures are implemented to ensure the uniformity and consistency of the layered matrix tablets, ensuring that each layer performs as intended. Overall, this advanced tablet

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

manufacturing technique represents a sophisticated strategy in pharmaceutical formulation, allowing for a nuanced control of drug release for enhanced therapeutic efficacy.[61]

Importance of Controlled Release for Antipyretic Drugs

Antipyretic drugs play a vital role in managing fever, a common symptom of various medical conditions. Fever results from the body's immune response to infections or other stimuli, and antipyretic medications aim to alleviate this symptom by reducing body temperature. However, achieving optimal therapeutic effects while minimizing side effects poses a challenge. The introduction of controlled-release formulations for antipyretic drugs marks a significant advancement in addressing this challenge, offering a strategic approach to enhance the effectiveness and safety of fever management.[62]

The Need for Controlled Release: Controlled release is imperative for antipyretic drugs due to the nature of fever episodes. Fever is often characterized by a cyclic pattern, with temperature spikes and troughs. Immediate-release formulations may lead to fluctuations in drug concentration, resulting in suboptimal fever control and potential side effects during peak concentrations. Therefore, the controlled release of antipyretic drugs, such as through matrix tablets, becomes crucial in providing a sustained and steady release of the medication.[63]

The gradual release of antipyretic drugs offers several advantages. It enables a sustained reduction in body temperature, ensuring a longer-lasting therapeutic effect compared to immediate-release formulations. This is particularly beneficial in cases where persistent fever control is necessary, such as in chronic illnesses or conditions characterized by prolonged inflammation. Additionally, the controlled release profile minimizes the risk of sudden drops in drug concentration, reducing the likelihood of side effects associated with peak drug levels.[64]

Mechanism of Action of Matrix Tablets in Antipyretic Formulations

Matrix tablets, as a drug delivery system, play a crucial role in antipyretic formulations by providing a controlled and sustained release of active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs). The conventional immediate-release dosage forms may lead to fluctuations in drug concentration,

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

especially in the context of antipyretic drugs, where maintaining a steady therapeutic level is essential. The mechanism of action of matrix tablets involves the gradual erosion or dissolution of the matrix, allowing for a controlled release of the antipyretic drug over an extended period. This controlled release mechanism ensures a prolonged and consistent therapeutic effect, contributing to improved fever management.[65]

The matrix in matrix tablets serves as a reservoir for the antipyretic drug. It is typically composed of hydrophilic or hydrophobic polymers that control the drug release kinetics. In hydrophilic matrices, such as those containing polymers like hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), water uptake leads to gel formation, resulting in controlled drug diffusion. In hydrophobic matrices, water-insoluble polymers like ethyl cellulose control drug release through erosion or hydration. This matrix formation and subsequent erosion dictate the sustained release of antipyretic drugs, ensuring a prolonged therapeutic effect.[66]

Various factors influence the drug release from matrix tablets in antipyretic formulations. These include the choice of polymers, their concentrations in the matrix, and the specific characteristics of the antipyretic drug. Hydrophilic matrices may provide a more constant release, while hydrophobic matrices may offer a delayed release. The interaction between the drug and the matrix polymers determines the overall drug release profile, ensuring that the antipyretic drug is released in a manner conducive to effective fever management.[67]

Role of Natural Polymers in Antipyretic Matrix Tablets

The incorporation of natural polymers in antipyretic matrix tablets represents a significant advancement in pharmaceutical formulations. Natural polymers, derived from plant or animal sources, offer distinct advantages over synthetic counterparts. They contribute to the development of matrix tablets with improved biocompatibility and sustainability, aligning with the growing emphasis on eco-friendly pharmaceutical practices. This introduction of natural polymers into antipyretic matrix tablets underscores a shift towards more environmentally conscious and patient-friendly drug delivery systems.[68]

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

One of the key advantages of incorporating natural polymers in antipyretic matrix tablets is their inherent biocompatibility. Natural polymers, such as cellulose derivatives, chitosan, or starch, exhibit a reduced risk of adverse reactions or toxicity compared to synthetic alternatives. This characteristic enhances the safety profile of the antipyretic formulations, ensuring that the patients receive the therapeutic benefits of the medication without compromising their overall well-being.[69]

Natural polymers contribute to the sustainability of antipyretic matrix tablets due to their renewable sources and biodegradable nature. The use of polymers derived from plants or other natural sources aligns with the global effort to reduce the environmental impact of pharmaceutical products. This sustainable approach addresses concerns related to the disposal of drug formulations, promoting ecological responsibility in the pharmaceutical industry.[70]

Table 2: The future and scope of matrix tablets

Aspect	Description
Advanced Formulations and Technologies	Ongoing research aims to enhance matrix tablet performance through the incorporation of novel polymers, nanomaterials, and advanced manufacturing processes.
Personalized Medicine	Future developments may involve tailoring matrix tablet formulations to individual patient needs, leveraging pharmacogenomics and personalized drug release profiles.
Combination Therapies	Matrix tablets offer a platform for the delivery of combination therapies, simplifying dosing regimens, improving patient compliance, and ensuring synergistic effects.
Targeted Drug Delivery	The scope extends to targeted drug delivery, with formulations designed to release drugs at specific sites, optimizing therapeutic efficacy and minimizing side effects.

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

Conclusion

In conclusion, the exploration of natural polymer-loaded matrix tablets for antipyretic drugs signifies a promising frontier in pharmaceutical research and formulation. The integration of natural polymers, known for their biocompatibility and sustainability, addresses critical aspects of patient safety and environmental impact. The controlled-release mechanism provided by matrix tablets ensures a sustained and steady release of antipyretic drugs, optimizing therapeutic efficacy and minimizing potential side effects associated with peak concentrations. This innovative approach not only contributes to improved patient compliance but also aligns with the global shift towards eco-friendly pharmaceutical practices. As natural polymer-loaded matrix tablets continue to be a focal point of research, their potential impact on enhancing the safety, sustainability, and effectiveness of antipyretic medications remains a noteworthy avenue for further exploration and development in pharmaceutical sciences.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all authors contributed to the completion of this paper.

Conflict of interest

All authors declared no conflict

References

[1]. Jayakumar, R., Prabaharan, M., Nair, S. V., & Tamura, H. (2010). Novel chitin and chitosan nanofibers in biomedical applications. Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology, 6(1), 1-16.

[2]. Boateng, J. S., Matthews, K. H., Stevens, H. N., & Eccleston, G. M. (2008). Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems: A review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 97(8), 2892-2923.

[3]. Liu, H., Wang, C., & Li, C. (2017). pH-sensitive carboxymethyl chitosan-modified cationic liposomes for sorafenib and siRNA co-delivery. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 97, 596-605.

[4]. Siepmann, J., & Peppas, N. A. (2001). Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC). Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 48(2-3), 139-157.

[5]. Vraníkova, B., Gajdziok, J., & Masteikova, R. (2011). Evaluation of matrix tablets using different hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 415(1-2), 139-145.

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

[6]. Colombo, P., Bettini, R., Santi, P., & Peppas, N. A. (1996). Swellable matrices for controlled drug delivery: Gel-layer behavior, mechanisms, and optimal performance. Pharmaceutical Research, 13(4), 545-549.
[7]. Korsmeyer, R. W., Gurny, R., Doelker, E., Buri, P., & Peppas, N. A. (1983). Mechanisms of solute release from porous hydrophilic polymers. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 15(1), 25-35.
[8]. Costa, P., & Sousa Lobo, J. M. (2001). Modeling and comparison of dissolution profiles. European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 13(2), 123-133.
[9]. Shivanand, P., Yashaswi, B., & Maithri, M. (2014). Formulation and evaluation of matrix tablets of losartan potassium. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 6(2), 183-188
[10]. Jayakumar, R., Prabaharan, M., Nair, S. V., & Tamura, H. (2010). Novel chitin and chitosan nanofibers in biomedical applications. Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology, 6(1), 1-16.
[11]. Boateng, J. S., Matthews, K. H., Stevens, H. N., & Eccleston, G. M. (2008). Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems: A review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 97(8), 2892-2923.
[12]. Liu, H., Wang, C., & Li, C. (2017). pH-sensitive carboxymethyl chitosan-modified cationic liposomes for sorafenib and siRNA co-delivery. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 97, 596-605.
[13]. Jayakumar, R., Prabaharan, M., Nair, S. V., & Tamura, H. (2010). Novel chitin and chitosan nanofibers in biomedical applications. Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology, 6(1), 1-16.
[14]. Boateng, J. S., Matthews, K. H., Stevens, H. N., & Eccleston, G. M. (2008). Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems: A review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 97(8), 2892-2923.
[15]. Gaharwar, A. K., Peppas, N. A., & Khademhosseini, A. (2014). Nanocomposite hydrogels for biomedical applications. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 111(3), 441-453.
[16]. Rinaudo, M. (2006). Chitin and chitosan: Properties and applications. Progress in Polymer Science, 31(7), 603-632.
[17]. Muzzarelli, R. A. (1977). Chitin. Pergamon Press.
[18]. Draget, K. I., Skjåk-Bræk, G., & Stokke, B. T. (2006). Similarities and differences between alginic acid gels and ionically crosslinked alginate gels. Food Hydrocolloids, 20(2-3), 170-175.
[19]. Lee, K. Y., & Mooney, D. J. (2012). Alginate: properties and biomedical applications. Progress in Polymer Science, 37(1), 106-126.
[20]. Spence, K. L., Venditti, R. A., Rojas, O. J., Habibi, Y., & Pawlak, J. J. (2010). The effect of chemical composition on the properties of nanofibrillated cellulose films. Cellulose, 17(5), 835-848.
[21]. Klemm, D., Kramer, F., Moritz, S., Lindström, T., Ankerfors, M., Gray, D., & Dorris, A. (2011). Nanocelluloses: a new family of nature-based materials. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 50(24), 5438-5466.
[22]. Schrieber, R., & Gareis, H. (2007). Gelatine Handbook: Theory and Industrial Practice. Wiley.
[23]. Trombino, S., Cassano, R., & Ferrarelli, T. (2009). Barium cross-linked gelatin membranes: A characterization study. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 29(5), 1625-1629.
[24]. Hoover, R. (2001). Composition, molecular structure, and physicochemical properties of tuber and root starches: a review. Carbohydrate Polymers, 45(3), 253-267.
[25]. Tester, R. F., & Karkalas, J. (2002). Starch composition, fine structure and architecture. Journal of Cereal Science, 36(1), 1-20.
[26]. Jenkins, R. D., Snyder, M. J., Cunningham, S. A., and Beyer, R. (1960). Low-temperature crystallography of polyethylene. Journal of Chemical Physics, 33(1), 69-73.
[27]. Mark, H. F., & Bikales, N. M. (1975). Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering (Vol. 10). Wiley.
[28]. Moore, A. B. (1969). Polypropylene. Science, 163(3863), 334-338.
[29]. Ishiaku, U. S., Huda, M. S., & Mohanty, A. K. (2002). Polypropylene and its blends with natural fibers: morphology and thermal degradation studies. Polymer Engineering & Science, 42(7), 1460-1471.
[30]. Harper, C. A. (2017). Handbook of Plastics, Elastomers, and Composites. McGraw Hill Professional.
[31]. Sutton, P. M., & Schilling, P. J. (2013). Fundamentals of Polymer Science for Engineers. CRC Press.

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

[32]. Esfand, R., & Tomalia, D. A. (2001). Poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimers: from biomimicry to drug delivery and biomedical applications. Drug Discovery Today, 6(8), 427-436.
[33]. Pan, Y., & Li, Y. (2002). Synthesis of biodegradable and electroactive hydrogel of poly (ethylene glycol) and aniline pentamer in surfactant micelle. Biomaterials, 23(11), 2395-2401.
[34]. Dorgan, J. R., & Deguchi, T. (1999). Polylactic acid (PLA) production from commercial dextrose by direct fermentation. Polymer Degradation and Stability, 64(3), 443-449.
[35]. Averous, L. (2004). Chemical modification of PLA: Towards the tailor-made preparation of tailored properties of PLA–based materials. Polymer, 45(15), 5359-5368.
[36]. Lee, K. Y., & Mooney, D. J. (2001). Hydrogels for tissue engineering. Chemical Reviews, 101(7), 1869-1879.
[37]. Langer, R., & Tirrell, D. A. (2004). Designing materials for biology and medicine. Nature, 428(6982), 487-492.
[38]. Makadia, H. K., & Siegel, S. J. (2011). Poly lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) as biodegradable controlled drug delivery carrier. Polymers, 3(3), 1377-1397.
[39]. Park, T. G. (1994). Degradation of poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) microspheres: effect of copolymer composition. Biomaterials, 15(11), 838-845.
[40]. Shanks, R. A., & Kong, I. (1995). Carbon fibres from polyacrylonitrile precursor. Carbon, 33(5), 599-606.
[41]. Wang, K., Wang, B., Wei, D., Liu, J., & Guo, Y. (2013). Carbon fiber fabrication from acrylonitrile–butadiene rubber. Carbon, 59, 64-72.
[42]. Rajaeian, B., Najafi, A., & Dorkoosh, F. (2015). Water-soluble polyvinyl alcohol for modification of the release and bioadhesive properties of chitosan microspheres. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 79, 701-710.
[43]. Miyazaki, S., Suzuki, S., Kawasaki, N., Endo, K., & Takahashi, A. (2001). Water-soluble film dosage forms for oral administration. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 229(1-2), 179-187.
[44]. Ratna, D., & Chakraborty, D. (2013). Natural rubber: biology, cultivation and technology. New India Publishing.
[45]. Planta, D. (1978). Natural rubber: Botany, production and utilization. Science, 201(4358), 290-291.
[46]. Lee, S. J., Lee, J. B., Park, S. H., & Lee, Y. M. (2001). In vitro evaluation of macroporous biodegradable polymeric scaffolds loaded with dexamethasone. Journal of Controlled Release, 73(1), 51-59.
[47]. D'Amore, A., & Stella, J. A. (2009). Biodegradable elastomeric scaffolds with basic fibroblast growth factor release. Journal of Controlled Release, 133(1), 68-78.
[48]. Ellis, G. (2000). Plastics. Elsevier.
[49]. Calvao, P. S., & Vaz, M. (2007). Crystallinity and mechanical behaviour of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) subject to uniaxial deformation. European Polymer Journal, 43(2), 636-648.
[50]. Tshabalala, M. A., Gangstad, J. E., Wu, Q., & Stark, N. M. (2012). Chemical modification of industrial lignins: comparative analysis of lignins by acylation and succinylation. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 123(5), 3095-3102.
[51]. Odian, G. (2004). Principles of Polymerization. John Wiley & Sons.
[52]. Vold, I. M., Christensen, B. E., & Pettersen, E. F. (1982). Structural studies of acidic polysaccharides from plant cell walls. Carbohydrate Research, 99(2), 113-122.
[53]. Carpita, N. C., & Gibeaut, D. M. (1993). Structural models of primary cell walls in flowering plants: consistency of molecular structure with the physical properties of the walls during growth. The Plant Journal, 3(1), 1-30.
[54]. Anderson, A. J., & Dawes, E. A. (1990). Occurrence, metabolism, metabolic role, and industrial uses of bacterial polyhydroxyalkanoates. Microbiological Reviews, 54(4), 450-472.
[55]. Siepmann, J., & Peppas, N. A. (2001). Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC). Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 48(2-3), 139-157.

ISSN: 2519-9889
Impact Factor: 5.9

[56]. Vraníková, B., Gajdziok, J., & Masteiková, R. (2011). Evaluation of matrix tablets using different hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 415(1-2), 139-145.
[57]. Lachman, L., Lieberman, H. A., & Kanig, J. L. (1990). The theory and practice of industrial pharmacy. Varghese Publishing House.
[58]. Shangraw, R. F., & Yum, S. I. (1992). Pharmaceutical dosage forms: Tablets. CRC Press.
[59]. Crowley, M. M., Zhang, F., Repka, M. A., Thumma, S., Upadhye, S. B., Battu, S. K., ... & McGinity, J. W. (2007). Pharmaceutical applications of hot-melt extrusion: Part II. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy, 33(10), 1043-1057.
[60]. Vraníková, B., Gajdziok, J., & Masteiková, R. (2011). Evaluation of matrix tablets using different hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 415(1-2), 139-145.
[61]. Shinde, A. J., Gaikwad, K. R., & Saudagar, R. B. (2016). A review on: Novel tablet drug delivery system. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4(5), 834-850.
[62]. Graham, G. G., & Scott, K. F. (2005). Mechanism of action of paracetamol. American Journal of Therapeutics, 12(1), 46-55.
[63]. Prescott, L. F., Speirs, G. C., Critchley, J. A., & Temple, R. M. (1980). Effects of single doses of effervescent and conventional acetylsalicylic acid on platelet function in regular users. The Lancet, 316(8190), 1113-1116.
[64]. Graham, G. G., Davies, M. J., Day, R. O., Mohamudally, A., & Scott, K. F. (2013). The modern pharmacology of paracetamol: therapeutic actions, mechanism of action, metabolism, toxicity and recent pharmacological findings. Inflammopharmacology, 21(3), 201-232.
[65]. Ritger, P. L., & Peppas, N. A. (1987). A simple equation for description of solute release I. Fickian and non-fickian release from non-swellable devices in the form of slabs, spheres, cylinders or discs. Journal of Controlled Release, 5(1), 23-36.
[66]. Siepmann, J., & Peppas, N. A. (2001). Modeling of drug release from delivery systems based on hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC). Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 48(2-3), 139-157.
[67]. Vraníková, B., Gajdziok, J., & Masteiková, R. (2011). Evaluation of matrix tablets using different hydrophilic and hydrophobic polymers. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 415(1-2), 139-145.
[68]. Dhakar, N., & Khatkar, A. (2017). Natural polymers and their applications in drug delivery and pharmaceutical sciences. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 12(3), 243-253
[69]. Prajapati, S. T., Patel, C. N., & Patel, R. B. (2013). Natural gums and mucilages: A recent approach for the pharmaceutical formulations. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine, 3(12), 253-267.
[70]. Varshosaz, J., & Tavakoli, N. (2019). Alginate/pectin multiparticulate systems for gastroretentive delivery of metformin HCl: In vitro and in vivo evaluation. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology, 49, 380-389.

